

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/496,009		Applicant(s) ELLIS ET AL.	
	Examiner GREG BENGZON		Art Unit 2444	

All Participants:

(1) GREG BENGZON.

(2) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 October 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Status of Application: _____

(3) David Lee, Reg. NO. 60474.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 1,9,13,57

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed incorporating all the limitations from Claim 9 and Claims 11- 13, including all intervening claims, into the all independent claims to further the claims towards allowance. The applicant did not agree to the proposed claim amendments. There was no agreement regarding allowable subject matter.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Greg Bengzon/
Examiner, Art Unit 2444

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)